Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/710,830	MINNIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M. Wang	2634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ted M. Wang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Aaron Waxler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2006	Time: <u>9:55 a.m.</u>	`
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2 and 6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the appropriation for allowance.		·
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
O -114		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) 3/7/06 (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)
(Applicant		anaraio ii appiopilato)